

# Contramodules

Tomasz Brzeziński

Swansea University

Categories in Geometry  
Split 2007

(joint work with G Böhm and R Wisbauer)

First: fix an algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $k$ .

## Definition

A *coring* (co-ring) is an  $A$ -bimodule  $C$  with  $A$ -bimodule maps

- $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_A C$  (coproduct)
- $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow A$  (counit)

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes_A C \\
 \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow C \otimes_A \Delta \\
 C \otimes_A C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes_A C} & C \otimes_A C \otimes_A C,
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes_A C \\
 \Delta \downarrow & \searrow C & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes_A C \\
 C \otimes_A C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes_A \varepsilon} & C.
 \end{array}$$

## Definition

A *right A-comodule* is a pair  $(M, \varrho)$ , where  $M$  is a right  $A$ -module, and  $\varrho : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A C$  is a right  $A$ -module map such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & M \otimes_A C \\
 \varrho \downarrow & & \downarrow M \otimes_A \Delta \\
 M \otimes_A C & \xrightarrow{\varrho \otimes_A C} & M \otimes_A C \otimes_A C,
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & M \otimes_A C \\
 \searrow \cong & & \downarrow M \otimes_A \varepsilon \\
 & & M \otimes_A A.
 \end{array}$$

A morphism of  $C$ -comodules  $(M, \varrho) \rightarrow (N, \varrho^N)$  is a right  $A$ -module map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  such that

$$(f \otimes_A C) \circ \varrho = \varrho^N \circ f.$$

The category is denoted by  $\mathbf{M}^C$ .

# Definition of contramodules

## Definition

A  $C$ -contramodule is a pair  $(M, \alpha)$ :

- $M$  is a right  $A$ -module;
- $\alpha : \text{Hom}_A(C, M) \rightarrow M$ , is a right  $A$ -module map;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_A(C, \text{Hom}_A(C, M)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_A(C, \alpha)} & \text{Hom}_A(C, M) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \text{Hom}_A(C \otimes_A C, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_A(\Delta, M)} & \text{Hom}_A(C, M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} M, \\ & & \\ \text{Hom}_A(A, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_A(\varepsilon, M)} & \text{Hom}_A(C, M) \\ & \searrow \cong & \swarrow \alpha \\ & & M. \end{array}$$

# Morphism of contra**m**odules

## Definition

A morphism of right  $C$ -contra**m**odules  $(M, \alpha_M)$ ,  $(N, \alpha_N)$  is a right  $A$ -module map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  rendering commutative the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, M) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, f)} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, N) \\ \alpha_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N. \end{array}$$

The category of right  $C$ -contra**m**odules is denoted by  $\mathbf{M}_C$ .  
Morphism sets ( $k$ -modules) are denoted by  $\mathrm{Hom}_C(M, N)$ .

- 1965–70: mentioned in relative homological algebra (Eilenberg-Moore), and in category theory (Vazquez Garcia, Barr);
- 2007: Positselski (arxiv:0708.3398) uses contramodules in an algebraic approach to semi-infinite cohomology (Voronov, Arkhipov).
- MathSciNet hits:
  - comodules = 797;
  - contramodules = 3.

- Is there a natural explanation for the existence of contramodules and are they natural objects to consider?
- In addition to modules of a ring, are there also 'contramodules' for rings?
- What do we know about contramodules?
- Why were contramodules 'forgotten'?

# Monads and comonads

## Definition

A functor  $G : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is a *comonad* if there are natural transformations  $\delta : G \rightarrow GG$ ,  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}}$  such that, for all objects  $X \in \mathbf{X}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(X) & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & GG(X) \\ \delta_X \downarrow & & \downarrow G(\delta_X) \\ GG(X) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{G(X)}} & GGG(X), \\ \\ G(X) & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & GG(X) \\ \delta_X \downarrow & \searrow G(X) & \downarrow G(\sigma_X) \\ GG(X) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{G(X)}} & G(X). \end{array}$$

Monads defined dually: a functor  $F : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  with natural transformations  $\mu : FF \rightarrow F$ ,  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow F$ .

## Definition

A *coalgebra* or *comodule* of  $(G, \delta, \sigma)$  is a pair  $(X, \varrho^X)$ , where  $X$  is an object in  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\varrho^X : X \rightarrow G(X)$  is a morphism,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varrho^X} & G(X) \\ \varrho^X \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_X \\ G(X) & \xrightarrow{G(\varrho^X)} & GG(X), \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varrho^X} & G(X) \\ & \searrow X & \downarrow \sigma_X \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

A morphism of  $G$ -coalgebras  $(X, \varrho^X), (Y, \varrho^Y)$  is  $f \in \mathbf{X}(X, Y)$  such that

$$\varrho^Y \circ f = G(f) \circ \varrho^X.$$

Algebras of  $(F, \mu, \eta)$  defined as pairs  $(X, \varrho_X)$ , where  $\varrho_X : F(X) \rightarrow X$ .

# The categories of algebras and coalgebras

$G$ -coalgebras with their morphisms form a category  $\mathbf{X}_G$ .

- If  $\mathbf{X}$  has colimits, coproducts, cokernels, so does  $\mathbf{X}_G$ .
- The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{X}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  has a right adjoint, the *free coalgebra* functor:

$$X \mapsto (G(X), \delta_X).$$

- Free coalgebras form a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{X}_G$  – the Kleisli category  $\mathbf{K}_G$ .

$F$ -algebras with their morphisms form a category  $\mathbf{X}^F$ .

- If  $\mathbf{X}$  has limits, products, kernels, so does  $\mathbf{X}^F$ .
- The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{X}^F \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  has a left adjoint, the *free algebra* functor:

$$X \mapsto (F(X), \mu_X).$$

- Free algebras form a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{X}^F$  – the Kleisli category  $\mathbf{K}^F$ .

## Theorem (Eilenberg-Moore)

Consider an adjoint pair  $(L, R)$  of endofunctors on  $\mathbf{X}$ .

- 1  $L$  is a comonad if and only if  $R$  is a monad.
- 2  $L$  is a monad if and only if  $R$  is a comonad. Furthermore:

$$\mathbf{X}^L \equiv \mathbf{X}_R.$$

In the case (1),  $\mathbf{K}_L \equiv \mathbf{K}^R$ .

## Theorem

Let  $C$  be an  $A$ -bimodule. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1  $C$  is an  $A$ -coring.
- 2 The functor

$$- \otimes_A C : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$$

is a comonad.

- 3 The functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, -) : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$$

is a monad.

- $\mathbf{M}^C \equiv$  coalgebras of comonad  $(- \otimes_A C, - \otimes_A \Delta, - \otimes_A \varepsilon)$ .
- $\mathbf{M}_C \equiv$  algebras of monad  $(\text{Hom}_A(C, -), \text{Hom}_A(\Delta, -), \text{Hom}_A(\varepsilon, -))$ .
- **Contramodules seem to be as natural as comodules.**

## Theorem

Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -module. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1  $B$  is a  $k$ -algebra.
- 2 The functor

$$- \otimes_k B : \mathbf{M}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_k$$

is a monad.

- 3 The functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(B, -) : \mathbf{M}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_k$$

is a comonad.

But  $- \otimes_k B$  is the **left** adjoint of  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(B, -)$ , hence algebras of  $- \otimes_k B =$  coalgebras of  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(B, -) = \mathbf{M}_B$

**There are no ‘contramodules’ for rings.**

# 'Free' knowledge about $\mathbf{M}_C$

- $\mathbf{M}_C$  has limits, products and kernels.
- $\mathbf{M}_C$  is abelian provided  $C_A$  is projective.
- The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  has a left adjoint, the *free contramodule* functor:

$$M \mapsto (\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, M), \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Delta, M)).$$

- $C^* = \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, A)$  is a (free)  $C$ -contramodule by  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Delta, A)$ .
- For any contramodule  $(M, \alpha)$ ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_C(C^*, M) \simeq M,$$

- $C^*$  is a generator in  $\mathbf{M}_C$ .

# Contramodules vs modules

$C^*$  is an algebra with the unit  $\varepsilon$  and product

$$(\xi * \xi')(c) = \sum \xi(\xi'(c_{(1)}))c_{(2)}.$$

## Theorem

- 1 *There is a faithful functor  $F : \mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{C^*}$  defined as follows.  $F(M, \alpha) = M$  is a right  $C^*$ -module with the action*

$$\varrho_M : m \otimes \xi \mapsto \alpha(m\xi(-)).$$

*For morphisms,  $F(f) = f$ .*

- 2 *The following statements are equivalent:*
- $F$  is a full functor.*
  - $C$  is a finitely generated and projective right  $A$ -module.*
  - $F$  is an isomorphism.*

# Projective contramodules

## Definition

A  $C$ -contramodule  $P$  is  $(C, A)$ -projective if any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{f} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \nearrow g & & \\ & & P & & \end{array},$$

where  $f, g$  are  $C$ -contramodule maps and  $\iota$  is an  $A$ -module map, can be completed by a  $C$ -contramodule map  $h : P \rightarrow M$ .

## Theorem

A  $C$ -contramodule  $(P, \alpha)$  is  $(C, A)$ -projective if and only if  $\alpha$  has a  $C$ -contramodule section. In particular, every free contramodule is  $(C, A)$ -projective.

## Definition (F Guzman)

$C$  is *coseparable* if there exists an  $A$ -bimodule map  $\delta : C \otimes_A C \rightarrow A$  such that  $\delta \circ \Delta = \varepsilon$  and

$$(C \otimes_A \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes_A C) = (\delta \otimes_A C) \circ (C \otimes_A \Delta).$$

## Theorem

*The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1  $C$  is a coseparable coring.
- 2 The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  is separable (the unit of adjunction  $(\text{Forget}, - \otimes_A C)$  has a natural retraction).
- 3 The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  is separable (the counit of adjunction  $(\text{Hom}_A(C, -), \text{Forget})$  has a natural section).

*Every contramodule of a coseparable coring is projective.*

# From comodules to contramodules

Fix an  $A$ -coring  $C$  and a  $B$ -coring  $D$ . Aim: describe (reasonable) functors  $\mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_C$ .

## Theorem

- 1 Given a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $N$ , and a right  $D$ -comodule  $M$ , there is a  $C$ -contramodule

$$(\mathrm{Hom}^D(N, M), \mathrm{Hom}^D(N_{\mathcal{L}}, M)).$$

- 2 The functor  $\mathrm{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_C$  has a left adjoint.
- 3 Any right adjoint functor  $\mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_C$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Hom}^D(N, -)$  for some  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $N$ .

# The contratensor product

## Definition (Positselski)

For all  $(C, D)$ -bicomodules  $N$  and right  $C$ -contramodules  $(M, \alpha)$  the *(contra)tensor product*  $M \otimes_C N$  is defined as a coequaliser

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, M) \otimes_A N \rightrightarrows M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow M \otimes_C N,$$

where the coequalised maps are  $f \otimes_A n \mapsto (f \otimes_A N) \circ N_{\varrho}(n)$  and  $\alpha \otimes_A N$ . Here  $N_{\varrho}$  is the left  $C$ -coaction on  $N$ .

$M \otimes_C N$  is a coequaliser of right  $D$ -comodule maps, hence  $M \otimes_C N$  is a  $D$ -comodule. There is a functor  $- \otimes_C N : \mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$ .

## Theorem (Positselski)

*The functor  $- \otimes_C N : \mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$  is the left adjoint of  $\mathrm{Hom}^D(N, -)$ .*

# A descent triangle

A  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $N$  determines a commutative diagram of right adjoint functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbf{M}_C \\ & \nearrow^{\text{Hom}^D(N, -)} & \downarrow^{(-)_A} \\ \mathbf{M}^D & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}^D(N, -)} & \mathbf{M}_A \end{array}$$

There is a corresponding monad morphism

$$\text{can}^N : \text{Hom}_A(C, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^D(N, - \otimes_A N), \quad \text{can}_Q^N(f) = (f \otimes_A N) \circ N_\varrho.$$

## Theorem

*The functor  $- \otimes_C N : \mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$  is fully faithful if and only if the following assertions hold.*

- (i) The natural transformation  $\text{can}^N$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) For all contra**modules**  $(M, \alpha)$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  preserves the coequaliser defining  $M \otimes_C N$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $\text{can}^N$  is a natural isomorphism and  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  is a right exact functor, then  $- \otimes_C N : \mathbf{M}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$  is fully faithful and  $C$  is a projective right  $A$ -module.*

## Theorem (Beck's theorem)

The categories  $\mathbf{M}_C$  and  $\mathbf{M}^D$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $N$  such that

- (i) The natural transformation  $\text{can}^N$  is an isomorphism.
- (ii) The functor  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  reflects isos.
- (iii) The functor  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  preserves reflexive  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -)$ -contractible coequalisers.

## Corollary

For a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $N$ , TFAE:

- (i)  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_C$  is an equivalence and  $C$  is a projective right  $A$ -module.
- (ii)  $\text{can}^N$  is a natural iso,  $N$  is a generator in  $\mathbf{M}^D$  and  $\text{Hom}^D(N, -) : \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$  is right exact.