# Isotopic Flows in Au+Au at 400 A MeV

W. Trautmann GSI Helmholtzzentrum, Darmstadt, Germany

- I. Collective flow and the symmetry energy at supra-saturation density
- II. First results from the FOPI (Phase I)-LAND experiment



UrQMD, Q.F. Li et al.



# I. why collective flows



 $\Psi$  (azimuthal event) distributions in the plane of directed flow

J. Łukasik et al., PLB 608 (2005)

# motivation 1: probes of high-density stage ?



Bao-An Li, PRL 88, 192701 (2002)

# motivation 2: can LAND be used to measure differential neutron-proton flows ?



### neutron and proton detection with the same device and method

# motivation 2: can LAND be used to measure differential neutron-proton flows ?



### neutron and proton detection with the same device and method

# motivation 3: high quality of excitation functions of flow

elliptic flow  $v_2$ 



<sup>197</sup>Au + <sup>197</sup>Au, data from INDRA, FOPI, AGS experiments

from A. Andronic et al., EPJA 30 (2006)



10/16/2009 W. Trautmann, GSI Helmholtzzentrum, HiDeSymE, Zagreb

# motivation 5: UrQMD predictions for elliptic flow





# UrQMD: negligible sensitivity to directed flow



# UrQMD: negligible sensitivity to directed flow



# II. First results from FOPI/LAND experiment

### Au+Au 400 A MeV



neutron squeeze-out: Y. Leifels et al., PRL 71, 963 (1993)



# negligible sensitivity to directed flow



# negligible sensitivity to directed flow



# $p_t$ dependence of $v_2$



# UrQMD: sensitivity of $v_2$



# UrQMD: sensitivity of $v_2$



# $p_t$ dependence of $v_2$

### Data:

- (PM3-PM5, 0.25<y/y<sub>p</sub><0.75)
- $|v_2|$  increases as expected
- reproduced by UrQMD (b<7.5 fm)
- but 15% correction missing

let's look at ratios only: - large errors at large  $p_t$ - UrQMD: decreasing sensitivity at  $p_t > 0.8$ 

result from neut/hydro ratios:

- $<\gamma > = 0.94 \pm 0.21$
- potential part just below linear





analysis of isospin diffusion and n/p ratios in <sup>112,124</sup>Sn cross bombardments at 50 A MeV M.B. Tsang et al., PRL 102, 122701 (2009)



HIC isospin diffusion and n/p ratios PRL 102 (2009) IAS isobaric analog states, Danielewicz and Lee, NPA 818 (2009) PDR pygmy dipole resonance, Klimkiewicz et al., PRC 76 (2007) analysis of isospin diffusion and n/p ratios in <sup>112,124</sup>Sn cross bombardments at 50 A MeV M.B. Tsang et al., PRL 102, 122701 (2009)



flow ratios + UrQMD:  $<\gamma> = 0.94 \pm 0.21$  $S_0/L = 34/87 \pm 13$  MeV or 30/79  $\pm 10$  MeV





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review

## Differential neutron-proton squeeze-out

W. Trautmann<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Chartier<sup>b</sup>, Y. Leifels<sup>a</sup>, R.C. Lemmon<sup>c</sup>, Q. Li<sup>d</sup>, J. Łukasik<sup>e</sup>, A. Pagano<sup>f</sup>, P. Pawłowski<sup>e</sup>, P. Russotto<sup>g</sup>, P. Wu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> GSI Darmstadt, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany
<sup>b</sup> University of Liverpool, Liverpool L697ZE, United Kingdom
<sup>c</sup> STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, WA4 4AD, United Kingdom
<sup>a</sup> FIAS, Universität Frankfurt, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
<sup>e</sup> IFJ-PAN, Pl-31 342 Kraków, Poland
<sup>f</sup> INFN-Sezione di Catania, I-95123 Catania, Italy
<sup>g</sup> INFN-LNS and Università di Catania, I-95123 Catania, Italy

 $\gamma = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ (from PM3 only)

# test of systematic uncertainties

physical parameters: impact parameter transverse momentum rapidity

data analysis: various sorting gates include protons separately background subtraction  $\Delta \gamma < 0.1 \ (p_t < 0.8 \text{ vs. } p_t < 1.2 \text{ GeV/c})$   $\Delta \gamma < 0.15 \ (for PM3-5)$ statistics not really sufficient to evaluate errors more precisely

 $\Delta \gamma = 0.43 \pm 0.32$  (PM3 vs. PM3-5)

 $\Delta \gamma < 0.1$   $\Delta \gamma$  negligible (protons not sensitive)  $\Delta \gamma = 0.21$  (100% vs. 60% of measured background)

UrQMD: Pauli blocking (y/n) constant  $S_0$  (= $a_4$ )

 $\Delta \gamma = 0.08$  (for PM3-5)  $\Delta \gamma = 0.07$  (S<sub>0</sub>=22 vs. S<sub>0</sub>=18 MeV)



 $v_2$  in PM5 is not as small as expected, possibly due to lacking experimental impact-parameter resolution



# test of cluster algorithm



Z distribution (in arbitrary units) of charged particles in Au+Au at 400 AMeV central collisions (arbitrarily normalized at Z=1)

# summary

conclusions: present elliptic flow result compatible with sub-saturation MSU result not compatible with result from analysis of pion ratios impact-parameter dependence barely consistent within errors

crucial for future experiment: higher statistics measure fragment data for consistency and check of cluster algorithm support background measurement with simulations more precise efficiency of LAND?

UrQMD: impact parameter dependence pion ratios

ultimate goal: theory invariant conclusions

